1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) United States Attorney
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4	JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285) Assistant United States Attorney
5	
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7232 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
8	Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Criminal No. CR 07-0479 WHA
15	Plaintiff,
16) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
17	v. ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
18	DEBRA EDISON,
19	Defendant.
20	
21	
22	The above-captioned matter came before the Court on February 20, 2008. The defendant
23	was represented by Eric Hairston, Esq., and the government was represented by Jeffrey Finigan,
24	Assistant United States Attorney. The matter was set before this Court for hearing on the
25	defendant's motion to suppress evidence on April 8, 2008.
26	The Court made a finding that the time from and including February 20, 2008, through
27	and including March 18, 2008 (the date for filing of the defense motion to suppress evidence),
28	should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 07-0479 WHA

justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the fact that, among others, the matter is related to the U.S. v. Michael Edison case and exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until April 8, 2008, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

DATED: February 28, 2008

ERIC M. HAIRSTON Counsel for Debra Edison

JEFFREY R. FINIGAN

Assistant U.S. Attorner

DATED: February 28, 2008

So ordered.

DATED: February 29, 2008.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE